MEMORANDUM

TO: Deans, Directors and Chairpersons

FROM: Theodore H. Curry II, Associate Provost
and Associate Vice President for Academic Human Resources

SUBJECT: Excellence-In-Teaching Citations 2017-2018

Each year, Excellence-in-Teaching Citations nominations are solicited. Up to six Excellence-In-Teaching Citations are awarded, each with a stipend of $1,000. The Excellence-in-Teaching Citation recipients are recognized at the annual Awards Convocation. The ceremony is Tuesday, February 6, 2018, 3:30-4:30 p.m., Pasant Theatre, Wharton Center. The ceremony includes the President’s State of the University remarks. A reception will follow the ceremony.

Nomination forms and instructions are attached. See attachments for details about the selection criteria and materials required to submit nominations. Colleges are encouraged to submit the maximum number of nominations. Please see page below Maximum Number of College Nominations (or page 3) for your college’s maximum number of nominations. Maximums are based on the number of graduate teaching assistants assigned to colleges in March 2017.

Colleges and departments should include an advisory (or awards) committee in their selection process. Students should participate in the selection process. Women and minorities should be included on nomination and screening committees. Efforts to submit a diverse and inclusive set of nominees should be encouraged and supported.

Nominators may contact me at 3-5300 or thcurry@msu.edu for feedback if the nominee is not selected for the award. A nominee not selected for an Excellence-in-Teaching Citation may be resubmitted for consideration in the subsequent award cycle and must be resubmitted through the college. A resubmission will count against the college’s maximum number of nominations. It is important to consider feedback when collecting and resubmitting a candidate’s nomination materials. Contact Katie Rundblad at rundblad@msu.edu or 2-1075 to review model nomination packets based on the support materials submitted on behalf of past recipients of the Excellence-In-Teaching Citation.

Confirm college deadlines prior to gathering and preparing nominee support materials. Colleges should forward nominations to the Provost Office, Room 442, Hannah Administration Building, no later than Thursday, October 5. It is strongly recommended that colleges establish nomination and review procedures now and that an announcement calling for nominations is issued immediately.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 3-5300 or thcurry@msu.edu or Ms. Rundblad at rundblad@msu.edu or 2-1075 with questions.

This memorandum and the attachments are at the All-University Awards website.

Attachments
MSU Excellence-In-Teaching Citations from the “Faculty Handbook”

MSU Excellence-In-Teaching Citations are made to six graduate teaching assistants who have distinguished themselves by the care they have given and the skill they have shown in meeting their classroom responsibilities. The essential purpose of the citation is to bring University-wide recognition to the best of the graduate teaching assistants and by so doing to underline the qualitative contribution which they are making to the undergraduate program.

Nominations must be endorsed by the chairperson of the department/director of the school in which the teaching assistant’s instructional responsibilities have been discharged. When this is not the department/school in which the nominee is a candidate for a degree, the chairperson/director of the degree department/school must endorse the nomination. In every instance the nomination must be accompanied by a letter of recommendation from the faculty member who has supervisory responsibility for the graduate teaching assistant. Individual departments/schools will ordinarily make a single nomination each year, but the larger departments/schools may make as many as three. "At large" nominations are also invited from an appropriate student organization. All nominations are reviewed by a college screening committee, which may forward the number of nominations as indicated in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Teaching Assistants in College</th>
<th>Maximum Number of Excellence-in-Teaching Nominations forwarded by the College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-199</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-299</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-399</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 399</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be nominated for an MSU Excellence-In-Teaching Citation, the teaching assistant must have held at least a quarter-time graduate teaching assistantship for a minimum of one semester at the time of nomination. In addition the nominee must have assumed a significant measure of responsibility for the conduct of undergraduate courses, whether in lecture, recitation or laboratory sections. Special tutorial or seminar teaching will also be recognized by the citations committee.
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF COLLEGE NOMINATIONS

Up to Seven Nominations (Units with 400 or more graduate teaching assistants)
  College of Natural Science

Up to Five Nominations (Units with 300-399 graduate teaching assistants)
  None

Up to Four Nominations (Units with 200-299 graduate teaching assistants)
  College of Education
  College of Social Science

Up to Three Nominations (Units with 100-199 graduate teaching assistants)
  College of Arts and Letters
  College of Engineering

Up to Two Nominations (Units with 99 or fewer graduate teaching assistants)
  College of Agriculture and Natural Resources
  Residential College in the Arts and Humanities
  Eli Broad College of Business
  College of Communication Arts and Sciences
  College of Human Medicine
  James Madison College
  Lyman Briggs College
  College of Music
  College of Nursing
  College of Osteopathic Medicine
  College of Veterinary Medicine
Excellence-In-Teaching Citation 2016-2018

Up to six Excellence-In-Teaching Citations are awarded annually to outstanding graduate teaching assistants who have distinguished themselves by the dedication and skill they show in meeting their classroom responsibilities. Award recipients receive a stipend of $1,000.¹

At the time of nomination, the nominee must be a graduate assistant who has been assigned instructional responsibility for at least one semester; s/he must be assigned an assistantship in summer 2017 or in the 2017-2018 academic year (including summer 2018). In addition, s/he must have assumed significant responsibility for the conduct of undergraduate courses, whether in lecture, recitation, or laboratory sections. The All-University Awards Committee also recognizes special tutorial or seminar teaching. The nominee must be enrolled as a student in academic year 2017-2018.

A faculty member, faculty organization, student or student organization can submit nominations to the college—i.e., college screening committee—in which the nominee discharged instructional responsibilities. Be sure to confirm college deadline dates. The college screening committee, designated by the dean and in consultation with the college advisory committee, should consist of five members: three faculty (at least one assistant professor or instructor) and two students (undergraduate or graduate). Each college screening committee is encouraged to submit the maximum number of nominations. Forward nominations to the All-University Awards Committee, Provost Office, 442 Administration Building, by Thursday, OCTOBER 5. NOMINEE DOSSIERS SUBMITTED WITHOUT ALL OF THE REQUIRED MATERIALS WILL BE RETURNED.

CRITERIA

The following criteria, listed in general order of importance, are to be addressed:

1. **Instructional effectiveness; impact on the student.** In providing evidence of the candidate’s excellence and success in instruction, consider the following: SIRS forms, peer evaluation, evaluations by affected groups; teaching portfolios, including course syllabi, examinations; websites, etc.; publications and presentations related to pedagogy; guest lectures; grants received in support of instruction; and instructional awards or other forms of professional or alumni recognition.

2. **Use of innovative techniques and/or approaches** in teaching. Explain how these are innovative in the department and/or discipline. Note that some technologies used regularly in classrooms are not considered as innovative as when they were introduced.

3. **Scholarly promise.** The nature of scholarship and creative activity varies across disciplines and areas. Excellence can be demonstrated in many ways: publications, presentations, poster sessions, websites, etc.; performances and exhibits; scores, showings, recordings, and curatorial activities; citations of one’s work by others; evaluations by peers and affected groups including comments by outside evaluators, journal editors, referees, etc.; grants received in support of research; and research awards or other forms of professional or alumni recognition.

4. **Other significant involvements and/or activities.**
Please complete and include DATA FORM—NOMINEE (or page 5) and NOMINATOR (or page 6)—as a cover sheet to the candidate’s nomination materials.

SECTION I. DATA FORM

1. DATA FORM

NOMINEE

Name:

IMPORTANT: PRINT NAME ABOVE AS IT SHOULD APPEAR ON AWARD CERTIFICATE

At the time of nomination, the nominee must be a graduate student who has had assigned instructional responsibility for at least one semester; s/he must also be assigned an assistantship in summer 2017 or in academic year 2017-2018 (including summer 2018). Nominee must be an enrolled student in academic year 2017-2018.

Total number of semesters nominee has had assistantship(s):

Current Assistantship(s):

Department(s)/College(s):

Previous assistantship(s) held in Department(s)/College(s):

Candidate for which degree(s):

Department(s)/College(s):

Degrees earned (give degrees, dates, and institutions):

Office Address:

Office Phone:

Email:

Home Address:

Home/Cell Phone:
(DATA FORM CONTINUED)

**NOMINATOR**

Name:

Academic Rank/Title:

Department/College:

Office address:

Phone:

Email:

Are you the faculty member who has supervisory responsibility for nominee?  
**YES:** NO:**

If NO, then please provide name of the faculty member who has supervisory responsibility for the nominee:

Also, IF NO, please be aware that the faculty member who has supervisory responsibility must provide a letter of support in section 3.

**CHAIRPERSON(S) ENDORSEMENT OF CANDIDATE**

If candidate is nominated outside her/his degree department, the chairperson of the candidate’s degree department must endorse candidate. Complete information below and obtain appropriate signatures.

Chairperson of Department in which Candidate’s Instructional Responsibility is discharged:

Name and Department:

Date:

Signature:

Chairperson of Candidate’s Degree Department:

Name and Department:

Date:

Signature:
2. **NOMINATOR STATEMENT.** See page 4 above or heading Criteria and nominator instructions on page 8 below or heading Instructions to Nominator.

3. **LETTERS OF SUPPORT.** Include at least TWO but not more than two letters from FACULTY, and at least THREE but not more than three letters from STUDENTS with whom the nominee has interacted. Also, students should be encouraged to address how the design and challenge of course(s) stood out for them. Support letters should not be repetitive. Ask for more letters than required so that the selected letters are complementary in addressing criteria. Letter writers should be specific and provide examples. Address the following criteria (see Criteria above or page 4), listed in general order of importance, in constructing letters of endorsement:
   a. **Instructional effectiveness; impact on student.**
   b. Use of innovative techniques and/or approaches in teaching. Explain how these are innovative in the department and/or discipline. Note that some technologies used currently in classrooms are not considered as innovative as when they were introduced.
   c. **Scholarly promise.**
   d. Other significant involvements and/or activities.

4. **CURRENT CURRICULUM VITAE.** In the case of multiple authors, indicate how the primary or lead author is identified and/or that all authors have made an approximately equal contribution.

5. **TEACHING PHILOSOPHY STATEMENT** (one page only). Candidate provides this.
   a. Candidate’s teaching philosophy.
   b. Describe implementation.
   c. How do you determine effectiveness?
   d. If not effective, how do you make modifications? Describe modifications?

6. **TEACHING SCHEDULE AT MSU** (on separate sheet).
   a. Indicate courses in which nominee assumed responsibility for lecture, laboratory, or recitation sections.
   b. If the nominee has not been responsible for lecture, recitation, or laboratory section of a course, please describe the nature of his/her assistantship responsibilities and duties related to teaching.

7. **TEACHING TABLE** TO PROVIDE COURSE DETAILS AND STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS IN SUMMARIZED FORM. Complete Table on page 9 for classes taught.
   - **STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM (SIRS) COMPOSITE PROFILE FACTORS.** The five composite profile factors are on the summary reports provided by the Scoring Office; the composite profile factors are provided on the attached table.
   - **When UNIT-SPECIFIC/DESIGNED STUDENT EVALUATION INSTRUMENTS** are used rather than SIRS, fill in the table as completely as possible for classes taught and, if necessary, modify the table according to the categories your unit uses in its evaluation instrument or address in summary form teaching effectiveness on a separate sheet and place in Section 7 with the Table (page 9).
   - If your unit’s student evaluation instrument does not fit into categories comparable to the Composite Profile Factors, you are still required to provide evidence in summary form that student evaluation of the nominee’s teaching indicates that s/he is an effective and exemplary instructor. Place this summary in Section 7 with the Table (page 9).

8. **DRAFT CITATION.** Approximately 300 words to appear in the awards brochure if the nominee is selected as an award winner. (See page 10 or Heading Draft Citations and page 11 or Heading Sample Citations.) Please be sure to list nominee’s name as s/he wishes it to appear in the awards brochure and on the award certificate, and list nominee’s degree department/college.

**INCLUDE ONE AND ONLY ONE DOCUMENT USED BY CANDIDATE IN TEACHING.** For example, course syllabus, course outline, student assignment, student quiz or examination. No books, journals, offprints, or journal articles, and the like.
INSTRUCTIONS TO NOMINATOR
Excellence-In-Teaching Citations 2017-2018

The nominator statement addresses the criteria and the points below regarding instruction and scholarship (based on the nature of the nominee’s assignments, comments may not be applicable to all topics). Comments on other topics may be included. See also Criteria above (or on page 4).

CRITERIA
1. Instructional effectiveness; impact on student.
2. Use of innovative techniques and/or approaches in teaching. It is important to explain how these are innovative in your department and/or discipline.
3. Scholarly promise.
4. Other significant involvements and/or activities.

INSTRUCTION
In providing evidence of the candidate’s excellence and success in instruction, consider the following: SIRS forms, peer evaluation, evaluations by affected groups; teaching portfolios, including course syllabi, examinations; websites, etc.; publications and presentations related to pedagogy; guest lectures; grants received in support of instruction; and instructional awards or other forms of professional or alumni recognition.

The Quality of Instruction
1. If the nominee has been asked to lecture in a course, please comment on the quality of his/her presentation.
2. What strengths and weaknesses has the nominee shown in the conduct of recitation or laboratory sections?
3. How successful has the nominee been in meeting with individual undergraduate students who are having problems with the course?

Contributions to the Instructional Program
(Be as specific as possible.)
1. What creative suggestions for improving/enhancing the content of the course has the nominee made? (Has s/he taken advantage of opportunities to suggest modifications in the outline of the course?)
2. How has the nominee contributed to the development of instructional techniques, including those designed to evaluate students?

SCHOLARSHIP
The nature of scholarship and creative activity varies across disciplines and areas. Excellence can be demonstrated in many ways: publications, presentations, poster sessions, websites, etc.; performances and exhibits; scores, showings, recordings, and curatorial activities; citations of one’s work by others; evaluations by peers and affected groups including comments by outside evaluators, journal editors, referees, etc.; grants received in support of research; and research awards or other forms of professional or alumni recognition.

Demonstration of Scholarship
1. Indicate the nominee’s scholarly interests and comment on the significance.
2. To what extent has the nominee contributed to scholarship either by sharing in the publication of research results or by participating in state, regional, or national meetings? What has the individual done that demonstrates his/her promise for scholarly distinction and creativity?

SUMMARY
1. Provide a summary statement on the general quality of the nominee’s contributions and his/her promise as a teacher-scholar. Evaluate the nominee in comparison with his/her peers.
TEACHING TABLE AND SIRS COMPOSITE PROFILE FACTORS

(for Section 7, see Checklist on last page (or page 12))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course (Credits)</th>
<th>Semester/Year</th>
<th>Enrollment Nos.</th>
<th>Type of Course (e.g., integrative studies, majors, freshman, required.)</th>
<th>Course Structure (e.g., discussion, large lecture, lecture/lab)</th>
<th>Provide Key (e.g., 1=Superior 5=Inferior)</th>
<th>SIRS Composite Profile Factors (Mean) obtained from Scoring Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Instructor Involvement</td>
<td>Student Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Student-Instructor Interaction</td>
<td>Course Demands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Course Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DRAFT CITATIONS

(Use this outline as applicable to the award)

IMPORTANT:

Please print name as it should appear on the award certificate and in the award brochure.

The citation will be printed in the program booklet. The citation should be an accurate statement of the person's achievements, but it should also be:

• **Distinctive.** The citations should reflect an honoree's distinction: a relevant tidbit about the person (as opposed to the person's achievements) is helpful: e.g., “An adviser who is also broadly versed in music, literature, and the fine arts, Ms. X.”

• **Creative.** Search for unusual and descriptive adjectives, varying cadences and turns of phrase.

• **Easily understood by a lay audience.**

A student (or a colleague) quotation adds human interest to the citation. Such quotations should be specific rather than general. “One of the most important things Ms. X communicates is her conviction that service in a University can be the heart of an honorable way of life.” This is preferable to “She was the best adviser I've ever had.” Don't overlook humorous quotations.

Although following this outline slavishly is not necessary (especially if you are feeling particularly creative), the citations usually take the form below. Keep in mind that each item should be several sentences long at most.


2. Specific ways in which her/his excellence has been evidenced. Undergraduate, graduate, or both. Extension. Advancing diversity. Textbooks and curriculum development. Student advising and mentorships. Where do his/her students go after MSU? Support of funding for equipment or scholarships.

3. Specific evidence of scholarship and contributions to other areas of the University's mission such as research. Avoid citing numbers of things unless they are really phenomenal. Instead, cite capstone examples. Use layperson's terms where possible, and cite the usefulness or results of the person's work in their largest context. Grants, professional societies, and awards are appropriate here, but only the most significant.

4. Other—Professional affiliations, consulting, service/outreach. MSU committee service. Again, use only the most significant examples.

5. Summary sentence of the person's worthiness for the award.
Shaun R. Bruno
Department of Chemistry, College of Natural Science

Shaun R. Bruno’s effectiveness as a teacher cannot be expressed any better than in the comments of one student: “Shaun is the Best. Knowledgeable. Accessible. Helpful. Everything a researcher and educator should be.” Mr. Bruno has dedicated himself to giving his students every opportunity to do as well as they possibly can. He strongly believes there is a way to teach every model so that every student can achieve understanding of even the most difficult chemistry models. It is not uncommon to see students from other sections attending his classes, because he makes chemistry easy to understand.

Mr. Bruno’s excellence in teaching has been recognized several times during his tenure at MSU: through the Educational Merit Fellowship from the Department of Chemistry in 2009 and 2010, and through recognition by the MSU Division of Student Affairs as a “Learning Leader,” also in 2009 and 2010. These recognitions reflect Mr. Bruno’s unique approach to teaching, in which he relates class material to students’ potential career choices, which helps improve students’ interest in the subject and clarify their possible career choices.

Mr. Bruno’s research interests are directed at the solid state synthesis of novel energy-related materials. [Us major focus is on synthesizing novel compounds from inexpensive, nontoxic, and abundant elements, such as the new iron-based cathode materials for rechargeable lithium ion batteries, His research has led to numerous publications in such peer-reviewed journals as The Journal of Solid State Chemistry, Material Research Bulletin, and Inorganic Chemistry. He has also presented his research at major international conferences in Ontario, Canada; Bordeaux, France; Seattle, Washington; and Boston, Massachusetts.

In addition to achieving personal research success, Shaun R. Bruno has contributed significantly to helping undergraduate students master chemistry at Michigan State University. He has proven to be a valuable asset to his department and professional discipline and is a most deserving candidate for the Michigan State University Excellence-in-Teaching Citation.

Faith Muirhead
Department of Teacher Education, College of Education

Faith Muirhead is the kind of teacher we all want for our MSU teacher education students and the kind of teacher—educator we all hope teaches in the classroom. She is generous, caring, invested, and always striving for better ways to teach and reach her students. She describes teaching as learning about students and their communities, the ways in which they are smart, and providing them with what they need to succeed in school and in life.

Ms. Muirhead came to MSU as an accomplished math teacher in New York City schools. Drawing on her experiences as a white teacher of students of color, Ms. Muirhead introduces teacher education students to the challenges and joys of working in urban schools, demonstrating how teachers can help students who are consistently marginalized in math classrooms to succeed. She constantly receives high praise from her students as a teacher who supports and challenges them to take their commitment to teaching all students seriously, to raise their expectations of themselves as future teachers, and to make a difference in their students’ lives. As one teacher candidate wrote. “I love the personal examples and experiences Ms. Muirhead shares about teaching in urban environments—and for challenging me to think outside the box.”

Ms. Muirhead has distinguished herself as a leader in the teacher preparation program, which requires interpersonal skills in addition to content knowledge and pedagogy; providing feedback to interns has illustrated how Ms. Muirhead’s passion for teaching extends beyond her own classroom. Her enthusiastic commitment to teaching affects everyone positively—her students, other teacher education students, even faculty.

For her exemplary teaching, her commitment to improving the preparation of teachers in urban settings, and her commitment to the education of often-marginalized students, Faith Muirhead is truly deserving of the Michigan State University Excellence-in-Teaching Citation.
CHECKLIST

Excellence-In-Teaching Citations 2017-2018

ONE COPY. (The copy provided must be readable when scanned for committee review). NOMINEE DOSSIERS SUBMITTED WITHOUT ALL OF THE REQUIRED MATERIALS WILL BE RETURNED. THERE WILL BE NO EXCEPTIONS. Please arrange materials in the following order:

1. Completed DATA FORM (see DATA FORM above (or pages 5-6))
2. NOMINATOR STATEMENT. Address criteria completely. (See CRITERIA above (or on page 4) and INSTRUCTIONS TO NOMINATOR page above (or on page 8)).
3. LETTERS OF SUPPORT:
   a. At least TWO but not more than two letters from FACULTY.
   b. At least THREE but not more than three letters of recommendation from members of the STUDENT body (including student colleagues (no more than one student colleague letter)).
4. CURRENT CURRICULUM VITAE. List authors the way they appear. For multiple authors, indicate how the primary/lead author is identified and/or that all authors have made an approximately equal contribution.
5. TEACHING PHILOSOPHY STATEMENT (one page only). Candidate provides this.
   a. Candidate’s teaching philosophy.
   b. Describe implementation.
   c. How do you determine effectiveness?
   d. If not effective, how do you make modifications? Describe modifications.
6. TEACHING SCHEDULE (include separate page for this).
   a. Nominee has assigned instructional responsibility for at least one semester.
   b. At the time of nomination, the nominee has had assigned instructional responsibility for at least one semester, and s/he must also be assigned an assistantship in summer 2017 or in the 2017-2018 academic year (including summer 2018). Nominee must be enrolled as a student in the 2017-2018 academic year.
   c. Nominee has significant responsibility for the conduct of undergraduate courses.
   d. Nominee’s primary involvement is undergraduate teaching.
7. TEACHING TABLE (TEACHING TABLE above (or on page 9)). Provide detailed information on courses taught and results from SIRS Composite Profile Factors. In cases where the unit administers student evaluation forms other than SIRS, fill in the form as completely as possible (see Section 7, Teaching Table above (or on page 9)) for details and additional instruction). You MUST provide a summary of student evaluation of nominee’s teaching in this section. If other than SIRS, limit summary to 1-2 pages.
8. CITATION. Please enclose a citation of approximately 300 words to appear in the awards brochure if the nominee is selected. Be sure to list nominee’s name as s/he wishes it to appear in the awards brochure and be sure to list degree(s) department(s)/college(s). (See Draft Citations page above (or on page 10) and Sample Citations page above (or on page 11).)

INCLUDE ONE AND ONLY ONE TEACHING DOCUMENT USED BY CANDIDATE. For example, course syllabus, course outline, student assignment, student quiz or examination. NO books, journals, offprints, or journal articles, and the like.

1 The Tax Reform Act (1986) eliminated the general exclusion of awards made in recognition of educational or scientific achievement. As a result, all Michigan State University recognition awards are considered taxable income. As such, monetary awards may affect scholarship awards and/or financial aid. Please confer with the offices of Scholarships and/or Financial Aid.